



United States Patent and Trademark Office

nor

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,655	02/13/2002	Manuel Quiros Granados	QUIROS GRANADOS-I	5494
7590 04/08/2004			EXAMINER	
COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 Northern Boulevard ROSLYN, NY 11576-1696			CROW, STEPHEN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3764	3
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/08/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	plicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/077,655	QUIROS GRANADOS MANUEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
71 24411110 0475 4111	Steve R Crow	3764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application		n			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copie	s not received.			
Attachment(s)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Inter	rview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	,	ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 3			



Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gause et al (479) in view of Brown.

Gause et al discloses a tilting table for a stationary bike comprising a rectangular plates2; irregular shaped triangular plates 10 with hinge pins; base plate 1; stationary bike 4; and motor 17. The peripheral edges of the Gause frame are tapered for safety purposes. The examiner contends that utilizing a convex curved peripheral instead of a tapered linear edging would have been an obvious decorative alternative for cosmetic purposes.

Brown teaches the use of wheels (unlabeled) on a bike support frame 22. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one skilled in theart to

Application/Control Number: 10/077,655

Art Unit: 3764

provide the Gause et al frame with wheels housed beneath the frame for transporting the device.

5. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gause et al in view of Brown as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Andrus et al

Andrus et all teaches an ergometer exercise system having a control attached to a bike's handlebars, and having cable means 108 for connecting the functions of the bike to a central computer. Given this teaching, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a remotely controlled computer accessed via cables for a physical type environment of use.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gause et al in view of Brown ,as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Henry et al. Henry et al teaches an exercise system having a remote control which is hand held. Given this teaching , it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a remotely controlled computer accessed via a hand held remote control for controlling the exercise parameters.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R Crow whose telephone number is 703-308-3398. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8:30-6;Off First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 703-308-2698. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sc

STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332

Mhrw